# Well-Posedness of a Mathematical Model for Trace Gas Sensors

Brian Brennan Robert C. Kirby John Zweck Susan E. Minkoff

**Baylor University** 

December 9, 2013



Baylor University

Mathematical Model

Existence and Uniqueness

Error Estimates

Numerical Results

Summary and Future Work

- ► A *trace gas* is a gas which makes up less than 1% of the Earth's atmosphere.
- ► A *trace gas sensor* is a very sensitize device for detecting these gases.

- ► A *trace gas* is a gas which makes up less than 1% of the Earth's atmosphere.
- ► A *trace gas sensor* is a very sensitize device for detecting these gases.
- Current Applications:
  - 1. Monitoring atmospheric pollutants
  - 2. Leak detection
  - 3. Early fire detection on spacecraft

- ► A *trace gas* is a gas which makes up less than 1% of the Earth's atmosphere.
- ► A *trace gas sensor* is a very sensitize device for detecting these gases.
- Current Applications:
  - 1. Monitoring atmospheric pollutants

- Future Applications:
  - 1. Non-invasive disease diagnosis

- 2. Leak detection
- 3. Early fire detection on spacecraft



Figure: Trace gas sensor resting on the tip of a finger.

**Baylor University** 

#### Photo-acoustic Spectroscopy:



We fire a modulated laser between the tines of a quartz tuning fork.



- We fire a modulated laser between the tines of a quartz tuning fork.
- Present gas molecules become excited.



- We fire a modulated laser between the tines of a quartz tuning fork.
- Present gas molecules become excited.
- Thermal and pressure waves are generated.



- We fire a modulated laser between the tines of a quartz tuning fork.
- Present gas molecules become excited.
- Thermal and pressure waves are generated.
- Electrodes on the the tuning fork convert waves to electric current.



- We fire a modulated laser between the tines of a quartz tuning fork.
- Present gas molecules become excited.
- Thermal and pressure waves are generated.
- Electrodes on the the tuning fork convert waves to electric current.
- The amplitude of the current determines the amount of gas present.

 Resonant Optothermoacoustic Detection (ROTADE) sensors capture only the thermal wave.

- Resonant Optothermoacoustic Detection (ROTADE) sensors capture only the thermal wave.
- Quartz-Enhanced Photoacoustic Spectroscopy (QEPAS) sensors capture only the pressure wave.

#### Introduction to Trace Gas Sensing Current Models:

- Resonant Optothermoacoustic Detection (ROTADE) sensors capture only the thermal wave.
- Quartz-Enhanced Photoacoustic Spectroscopy (QEPAS) sensors capture only the pressure wave.



We seek a model which captures both effects simultaneously.

Mathematical Model

Coupled pressure-temperature equations of gas:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( T - \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma \alpha} P \right) - \ell_h c \Delta T = S(x, t) \\ \gamma \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \ell_v c \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Delta \right) (P - \alpha T) - c^2 \Delta P = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \backslash \Omega_{TF} \quad \text{(1b)} \end{cases}$$

- T: temperature
- S: cylindrically sym. Gaussian heat source
- $\ell_h$ : heat conduction parameter  $\alpha$ :  $\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial T}\right)_{\mu}$ .
- A: proportional to gas concentration

- *P*: pressure
- c: sound speed
- $\ell_v$ : viscosity parameter
- $\gamma: rac{c_p}{c_v}$
- $\omega$ : QTF resonance frequency

With a time harmonic source term, we can simplify (1) to the time-independent Helmholtz equations:

$$\begin{cases} -i\beta\omega\left(T - \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma\alpha}P\right) - \beta\ell_h c\Delta T = S \\ -\gamma(\omega^2 - i\ell_v c\omega\Delta)(P - \alpha T) - c^2\Delta P = 0 \end{cases}$$
(2a) (2b)

where 
$$eta=rac{lpha^2\gamma^2\omega}{\gamma-1}$$
,  $T=T_1+iT_2$  and  $P=P_1+iP_2.$ 

It will be convenient to view (2) as a system of four partial differential equations of the form Au = b, where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -\beta\ell_h c\Delta & \beta\omega & 0 & -\alpha\gamma\omega^2 \\ -\beta\omega & -\beta\ell_h c\Delta & \alpha\gamma\omega^2 & 0 \\ \alpha\gamma\ell_v c\omega\Delta & -\alpha\gamma\omega^2 & -\gamma\ell_v c\omega\Delta & \gamma\omega^2 + c^2\Delta \\ \alpha\gamma\omega^2 & \alpha\gamma\ell_v c\omega\Delta & -(\gamma\omega^2 + c^2\Delta) & -\gamma\ell_v c\omega\Delta \end{pmatrix}$$
(3)

where  $u = (T_1, T_2, P_1, P_2)^T$  and  $b = (S, 0, 0, 0)^T$ .

#### Definition

A bilinear form a(u, v) on a normed linear space H is said to be **continuous** on  $V \subset H$  if there exists some M > 0 such that

$$|a(u,v)| \le M \|u\|_H \|v\|_H$$
, for all  $u, v \in V$ .

and **coercive** on  $V \subset H$  if there exists some C > 0 such that

$$a(v,v) \ge C \|v\|_H^2, \qquad \text{for all } v \in V.$$
(5)

(4)

#### Lemma (Lax-Milgram)

Given a Hilbert space V, a continuous and coercive bilinear form  $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ and a continuous linear functional  $F \in V'$ , there exists a unique  $u \in V$ such that a(u, v) = F(v), for all  $v \in V$ .

We will show existence and uniqueness using the Lax-Milgram lemma.

For  $u = (T_1, T_2, P_1, P_2)$ , the bilinear form corresponding to (2) is

$$a(u, v) = \frac{\alpha^2 \gamma^2 \ell_h c\omega}{\gamma - 1} \langle \nabla T_1, \nabla v_1 \rangle - \frac{\alpha^2 \gamma^2 \omega^2}{\gamma - 1} \langle T_2, v_1 \rangle + \alpha \gamma \omega^2 \langle P_2, v_1 \rangle$$

$$+ \frac{\alpha^2 \gamma^2 \omega^2}{\gamma - 1} \langle T_1, v_2 \rangle + \frac{\alpha^2 \gamma^2 \ell_h c\omega}{\gamma - 1} \langle \nabla T_2, \nabla v_2 \rangle - \alpha \gamma \omega^2 \langle P_1, v_2 \rangle$$

$$- \alpha \gamma \ell_v c\omega \langle \nabla T_1, \nabla v_3 \rangle + \alpha \gamma \omega^2 \langle T_2, v_3 \rangle + \gamma \ell_v c\omega \langle \nabla P_1, \nabla v_3 \rangle$$

$$- \gamma \omega^2 \langle P_2, v_3 \rangle + c^2 \langle \nabla P_2, \nabla v_3 \rangle - \alpha \gamma \omega^2 \langle T_1, v_4 \rangle - \alpha \gamma \ell_v c\omega \langle \nabla T_2, \nabla v_4 \rangle$$

$$+ \gamma \omega^2 \langle P_1, v_4 \rangle - c^2 \langle \nabla P_1, \nabla v_4 \rangle + \gamma \ell_v c\omega \langle \nabla P_2, \nabla v_4 \rangle$$
(6)

Now, through repeated use of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we can show

$$\begin{aligned} a(u,v) &\leq \left(\frac{\alpha^2 \gamma^2 \omega^2}{\gamma - 1} + \alpha \gamma \omega^2 + \gamma \omega^2\right) \|u\| \|v\| \\ &+ \left(\frac{\alpha^2 \gamma^2 \ell_h c\omega}{\gamma - 1} + \alpha \gamma \ell_v c\omega + \gamma \ell_v c\omega + c^2\right) \|\nabla u\| \|\nabla v\|. \end{aligned}$$

Now, through repeated use of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we can show

$$\begin{aligned} a(u,v) &\leq \left(\frac{\alpha^2 \gamma^2 \omega^2}{\gamma - 1} + \alpha \gamma \omega^2 + \gamma \omega^2\right) \|\boldsymbol{u}\| \|\boldsymbol{v}\| \\ &+ \left(\frac{\alpha^2 \gamma^2 \ell_h c \omega}{\gamma - 1} + \alpha \gamma \ell_v c \omega + \gamma \ell_v c \omega + c^2\right) \|\nabla \boldsymbol{u}\| \|\nabla \boldsymbol{v}\|. \end{aligned}$$

Using the Friedrichs inequality, we have a bound in the  $H_0^1$  norm.

$$\begin{aligned} a(u,v) &\leq s^2 \left( \frac{\alpha^2 \gamma^2 \omega^2}{\gamma - 1} + \alpha \gamma \omega^2 + \gamma \omega^2 \right) \|\nabla u\| \|\nabla v\| \\ &+ \left( \frac{\alpha^2 \gamma^2 \ell_h c \omega}{\gamma - 1} + \alpha \gamma \ell_v c \omega + \gamma \ell_v c \omega + c^2 \right) \|\nabla u\| \|\nabla v\| \\ &= M \|u\|_{H_0^1} \|v\|_{H_0^1} \,. \end{aligned}$$

#### Theorem (Continuity)

For all positive constants  $c, \ell_h, \ell_v, \alpha, \gamma$  and  $\omega$  such that  $\gamma > 1$ , the rescaled bilinear form (6) is continuous with constant

$$M = s^2 \left( \frac{\alpha^2 \gamma^2 \omega^2}{\gamma - 1} + \alpha \gamma \omega^2 + \gamma \omega^2 \right) + \left( \frac{\alpha^2 \gamma^2 \ell_h c \omega}{\gamma - 1} + \alpha \gamma \ell_v c \omega + \gamma \ell_v c \omega + c^2 \right)$$

This implies well-posedness of the original problem in weak formulation.

Our choice of  $\beta$  simplifies our bilinear form in the coercivity estimate:

$$a(u, u) = \frac{\alpha^2 \gamma^2 \ell_h c\omega}{\gamma - 1} \left( \|\nabla T_1\|^2 + \|\nabla T_2\|^2 \right) + \gamma \ell_v c\omega \left( \|\nabla P_1\|^2 + \|\nabla P_2\|^2 \right) -\alpha \gamma \ell_v c\omega \langle \nabla T_1, \nabla P_1 \rangle - \alpha \gamma \ell_v c\omega \langle \nabla T_2, \nabla P_2 \rangle.$$

Our choice of  $\beta$  simplifies our bilinear form in the coercivity definition:

$$a(u, u) = \frac{\alpha^2 \gamma^2 \ell_h c\omega}{\gamma - 1} \left( \|\nabla T_1\|^2 + \|\nabla T_2\|^2 \right) + \gamma \ell_v c\omega \left( \|\nabla P_1\|^2 + \|\nabla P_2\|^2 \right) -\alpha \gamma \ell_v c\omega \langle \nabla T_1, \nabla P_1 \rangle - \alpha \gamma \ell_v c\omega \langle \nabla T_2, \nabla P_2 \rangle.$$

Now, the generalized Young's inequality gives us that for any  $\epsilon>0$ 

$$a(u, u) \geq \left(\frac{\alpha^2 \gamma^2 \ell_h c\omega}{\gamma - 1} - \frac{\alpha \gamma \ell_v c\omega}{2\epsilon}\right) \left(\|\nabla T_1\|^2 + \|\nabla T_2\|^2\right) \\ + \left(\gamma \ell_v c\omega - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon \alpha \gamma \ell_v c\omega\right) \left(\|\nabla P_1\|^2 + \|\nabla P_2\|^2\right) \\ = C \|u\|_{H_0^1}^2$$

for 
$$C = \min\left\{\frac{\alpha^2 \gamma^2 \ell_h c \omega}{\gamma - 1} - \frac{\alpha \gamma \ell_v c \omega}{2\epsilon}, \ \gamma \ell_v c \omega - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon \alpha \gamma \ell_v c \omega\right\}.$$

B. Brennan

Baylor University

Remember that we must choose  $\epsilon$  such that C > 0 in the previous result. Enforcing this, gives the following result.

Remember that we must choose  $\epsilon$  such that C > 0 in the previous result. Enforcing this, gives the following result.

#### Theorem (Coercivity)

Suppose  $\frac{\ell_v(\gamma-1)}{2\gamma\ell_h} < 2$ . Then for all positive constants  $c, \ell_h, \ell_v, \alpha, \gamma$  and  $\omega$  such that  $\gamma > 1$  and

$$\frac{\ell_v(\gamma-1)}{2\alpha\gamma\ell_h} < \epsilon < \frac{2}{\alpha}.$$

the rescaled bilinear form is coercive with constant

$$C = \min\left\{\frac{\alpha^2 \gamma^2 \ell_h c\omega}{\gamma - 1} - \frac{\alpha \gamma \ell_v c\omega}{2\epsilon}, \ \gamma \ell_v c\omega - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon \alpha \gamma \ell_v c\omega\right\}.$$

Error Estimates

Our previous theorems immediately give us error estimates in  $H^1$ .

#### Lemma (Cea's Lemma)

Let  $a : V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous and coercive bilinear form. For a continuous linear functional  $F \in V'$ , consider the problem of finding an element  $u \in V$  such that

a(u, v) = F(v), for all  $v \in V.$ 

Now, consider the same problem on a finite dimensional subspace  $V_h$  of V such that  $u_h \in V_h$  satisfies

 $a(u_h, v) = F(v),$  for all  $v \in V_h$ .

By the Lax-Milgram Lemma, this problem has a unique solution and Cea's Lemma states that

$$\|u - u_h\|_V \le \frac{M}{C} \|u - v\|_V$$
, for all  $v \in V_h$ 

where M and C are the continuity and coercivity constants respectively.

B. Brennan

December 9, 2013 23 / 36

 $L^2$  estimates are also of interest. For these need the following assumptions:

#### Proposition

Given a global interpolator  $\mathcal{I}^h u$  on the finite element space, the corresponding shape functions have an approximation order, m, if

$$\left\| u - \mathcal{I}^{h} u \right\|_{H_{0}^{1}} \le C_{\mathcal{I}^{h}} h^{m-1} \left\| u \right\|_{H^{m}}$$
 (7)

where  $C_{\mathcal{I}^h}$  is independent of u and h.

#### Proposition ( $H^2$ Regularity)

Suppose that  $u \in H^1(U)$  is a weak solution of the elliptic PDE

$$Lu = f,$$
 in  $U$ 

with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions. Then  $u \in H^2_{loc}(U)$  and for any open subset  $V \subset U$ 

$$||u||_{H^2} \le C_R ||f||_{L^2}.$$

To find  $L^2$  estimates, we consider the dual problem in terms of  $e = u - u_h$ 

$$\begin{cases} -\frac{\alpha^2 \gamma^2 \ell_h c\omega}{\gamma - 1} \Delta \phi_T - i \frac{\alpha^2 \gamma^2 \omega^2}{\gamma - 1} \phi_T + \alpha \gamma \ell_v c\omega \Delta \phi_P + i \alpha \gamma \omega^2 \phi_P = e_T \\ i \alpha \gamma \omega^2 \phi_T - \gamma \ell_v c \omega \Delta z_P - i (\gamma \omega^2 + c^2 \Delta) z_P = e_P \end{cases}$$

To find  $L^2$  estimates, we consider the dual problem in terms of  $e = u - u_h$ 

$$\begin{cases} -\frac{\alpha^2 \gamma^2 \ell_h c\omega}{\gamma - 1} \Delta \phi_T - i \frac{\alpha^2 \gamma^2 \omega^2}{\gamma - 1} \phi_T + \alpha \gamma \ell_v c\omega \Delta \phi_P + i \alpha \gamma \omega^2 \phi_P = e_T \\ i \alpha \gamma \omega^2 \phi_T - \gamma \ell_v c \omega \Delta z_P - i (\gamma \omega^2 + c^2 \Delta) z_P = e_P \end{cases}$$

This problem has the crucial property

$$a(e,\phi) = \langle e, e \rangle \tag{8}$$

in terms of the original bilinear form  $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ .

To find  $L^2$  estimates, we consider the dual problem in terms of  $e = u - u_h$ 

$$\begin{cases} -\frac{\alpha^2 \gamma^2 \ell_h c\omega}{\gamma - 1} \Delta \phi_T - i \frac{\alpha^2 \gamma^2 \omega^2}{\gamma - 1} \phi_T + \alpha \gamma \ell_v c \omega \Delta \phi_P + i \alpha \gamma \omega^2 \phi_P = e_T \\ i \alpha \gamma \omega^2 \phi_T - \gamma \ell_v c \omega \Delta z_P - i (\gamma \omega^2 + c^2 \Delta) z_P = e_P \end{cases}$$

This problem has the crucial property

$$a(e,\phi) = \langle e, e \rangle \tag{8}$$

in terms of the original bilinear form  $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ .

Notice the solution  $\phi$  also satisfies

$$\|\phi\|_{H^2} \le C_R \,\|e\|_{L^2} \,.$$

B. Brennan

Baylor University

$$\begin{split} \|e\|_{L^{2}}^{2} &= \langle e, e \rangle \\ &= a(e, \phi), \\ &= a(e, \phi - \mathcal{I}^{h} \phi) \\ &\leq M \|e\|_{H_{0}^{1}} \left\| \phi - \mathcal{I}^{h} \phi \right\|_{H_{0}^{1}} \\ &\leq MC_{\mathcal{I}^{h}} h \|e\|_{H_{0}^{1}} \|\phi\|_{H^{2}} \\ &\leq Kh \|e\|_{H_{0}^{1}} \\ &\leq Kh^{2} \|u\|_{H^{2}} \end{split}$$

(by duality)
(by Galerkin Orthogonality)
(by continuity)
(Approximation estimate)
(Regularity)
(Approximation estimate).

$$\begin{split} \|e\|_{L^{2}}^{2} &= \langle e, e \rangle \\ &= a(e, \phi), \\ &= a(e, \phi - \mathcal{I}^{h} \phi) \\ &\leq M \|e\|_{H_{0}^{1}} \left\| \phi - \mathcal{I}^{h} \phi \right\|_{H_{0}^{1}} \\ &\leq MC_{\mathcal{I}^{h}} h \|e\|_{H_{0}^{1}} \|\phi\|_{H^{2}} . \\ &\leq Kh \|e\|_{H_{0}^{1}} \\ &\leq Kh^{2} \|u\|_{H^{2}} \end{split}$$

(by duality)(by Galerkin Orthogonality)(by continuity)(Approximation estimate)(Regularity)(Approximation estimate).

#### Theorem ( $L^2$ Error Estimate)

The FEM error in the  $L^2$  norm is of size  $\mathcal{O}(h^2)$ .

To mimic a realistic problem, the following set of physical parameters will be used for all tests:

$$\ell_h = \ell_v = 10^{-6} \text{ m}$$
  
 $c = 300 \text{ m/s}$   
 $\omega = 3.3e4 \text{ Hz}$   
 $\gamma = 1.4$   
 $\alpha = 8.8667 \text{ Pa/K}.$ 

To mimic a realistic problem, the following set of physical parameters will be used for all tests:

$$\ell_h = \ell_v = 10^{-6} \text{ m}$$
  
 $c = 300 \text{ m/s}$   
 $\omega = 3.3e4 \text{ Hz}$   
 $\gamma = 1.4$   
 $\alpha = 8.8667 \text{ Pa/K}.$ 

First, we check that our method is converging at the expected rate for order p basis functions:

$$||u - u_h||_{L^2} = Ch^{p+1}.$$





#### 16 Core Workstation



#### 128 Node Cluster



Figure: Weak scaling with a fixed  $256 \times 256$  problem size per processor.

Figure: Strong scaling for a fixed problem size of  $1024 \times 1024$ .

# Summary and Future Work

Summary and Future Work

# Summary and Future Work

In Summary:

Surprisingly clean proof for existence and uniqueness.

- Surprisingly clean proof for existence and uniqueness.
- Initial numerical tests validate the model for plane wave solutions.

- Surprisingly clean proof for existence and uniqueness.
- Initial numerical tests validate the model for plane wave solutions.

Future Work:

Couple an elasticity model capturing the behaviour of the tuning fork.

- Surprisingly clean proof for existence and uniqueness.
- Initial numerical tests validate the model for plane wave solutions.

Future Work:

- Couple an elasticity model capturing the behaviour of the tuning fork.
- Standard linear solvers do not scale well to multi-core machines.

- Surprisingly clean proof for existence and uniqueness.
- Initial numerical tests validate the model for plane wave solutions.

Future Work:

- Couple an elasticity model capturing the behaviour of the tuning fork.
- Standard linear solvers do not scale well to multi-core machines.
  - $\rightarrow$  Hermitian-Skew Symmetric (HSS) splitting methods may help.

